IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket No. 36849

STATE OF IDAHO, Plaintiff-Respondent, v. RUSSELL LEON WILBURN,	 2010 Unpublished Opinion No. 513 Filed: June 18, 2010 Stephen W. Kenyon, Clerk THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED 		
		Defendant-Appellant.) OPINION AND SHALL NOT) BE CITED AS AUTHORITY
		Appeal from the District Court of the Elmore County. Hon. Michael E. Weth	e Fourth Judicial District, State of Idaho, nerell, District Judge.
		•	sentence of twenty-five years, with a seven and one-half years, for aiding and
Molly J. Huskey, State Appellate Pu Appellate Unit, Boise, for appellant.	ublic Defender; Sara B. Thomas, Chief,		
Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney G. General, Boise, for respondent.	General; Lori A. Fleming, Deputy Attorney		
Before LANSING Chief	f Judge: GRATTON Judge:		

PER CURIAM

Russell Leon Wilburn pled guilty to aiding and abetting robbery. Idaho Code § 18-6501. The district court sentenced Wilburn to a unified term of twenty-five years, with a minimum period of confinement of seven and one-half years. Wilburn appeals asserting that the district court abused its discretion by imposing an excessive sentence.

and MELANSON, Judge

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court's discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of the sentence are well established. *See State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App. 1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103

Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007). Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion.

Therefore, Wilburn's judgment of conviction and sentence are affirmed.